



INSPECTION REPORT

OLYMPIC GAME FARM, INC.

Customer ID: 3419

Certificate: 91-C-0006

**1423 WARD ROAD
SEQUIM, WA 98382**

Site: 001

OLYMPIC GAME FARM, INC.

inspection

Type: ROUTINE INSPECTION

Date: JUN-03-2003

2.40 (a) (1) REPEAT NCI DIRECT

2.40 (b) (3) REPEAT NCI

ATTENDING VETERINARIAN AND ADEQUATE VETERINARY CARE (DEALERS AND EXHIBITORS).

<<<<Each dealer and exhibitor shall employ an attending veterinarian under formal arrangements....the formal arrangements shall include a written program of veterinary care...>>>>

The written program of veterinary care (PVC) is incomplete. It only covers wolves, coyotes, large felids, and small felids. Also, the written program states that fecal exams will be done monthly. In reality, they are only being done twice yearly.

The wolves, "Peter", "Paul", and "Mary", born 5/10/99, were only shown on the records to have been vaccinated once on 8/4/99. The PVC states that they will be vaccinated twice as puppies or juveniles.

The PVC is incomplete and is not being followed as written.

Non-compliances in the written Program of Veterinary Care are repetitive, as indicated on inspection reports dated: 3/12/90, 6/14/91, 9/23/91, 2/4/92, 5/4/94, and

8/25/97. Also, VS Form 3-60, "Official Notification and Warning of Violation of Federal Regulations" was issued on 7/18/91 regarding this non-compliance. A stipulation was issued on 12/13/91 after the PVC was still not completed. On 2/12/01, a current version of AC Policy #3, Veterinary Care, was included in a certified mailing of the inspection report from 2/7/01.

The raccoon named "Mike" was still squinting in the left eye. There was a slight non-purulent discharge from the eye. The employee stated that some eyedrops were obtained from the attending veterinarian and put into the eye after the last inspection. The eyedrops were gentomycin ophthalmic drops. The raccoon had not been examined by the AV. It was stated on the last inspection report that the AV would examine the eye. There was no record of the frequency that the eye was treated by the facility or how long treatment was carried out. The condition still exists. Adequate veterinary care was not done on this raccoon.

2.75 (b) REPEAT NCI

RECORDS: DEALERS AND EXHIBITORS.

Acquisition records do not have the USDA license numbers or driver's license numbers and vehicle license numbers of parties from whom animals have been acquired.

There is no disposition record for 2 river otters that were acquired on 5/13/98 and are no longer on the facility grounds.

This is a repeated non-compliance as indicated on inspection reports dated: 8/10/89, 6/14/91, 9/23/91, 8/25/92, 4/1/93. A VS Form 3-60, "Official Notification and Warning of Violation of Federal Regulations", was issued on 7/18/91. A stipulation was issued on 12/13/91 for continued non-compliances in recordkeeping. A Letter of Instruction (LOI) was issued on 4/8/93.

Prepared By: Ruth M. Hanscom
RUTH HANSCOM, USDA, APHIS, Animal Care

Title: VETERINARY MEDICAL OFFICER, Inspector ID: 5034

Date:
JUN-09-2003

Received By: _____
CERTIFIED MAIL #7001 1940 0004 4359 7338

Title: NA

Date:
JUN-10-2003



INSPECTION REPORT

3.125 (a)

DIRECT

FACILITIES, GENERAL.

<<<<Structural strength. The facility must be constructed of such material and of such strength as appropriate for the animals involved. The indoor and outdoor housing facilities shall be structurally sound and shall be maintained in good repair to protect the animals from injury and to contain the animals.>>>>

All of the bear pens in the drive through area had fencing composed of large mesh, small gauge wire which was rusty, deteriorating, and broken in many areas. Though the electric containment wire running along the inside of the enclosure was presently containing the animals, if the electric wire should fail, the mesh wire fencing is not strong enough or in good repair to contain the animals.

This will be corrected by replacing the fence panels by Sept. 30, 2003. Regular maintenance will be done to keep the enclosures in good repair.

In the enclosure containing 6 brown bears, the electric containment wire was placed precariously at an acute angle over the pond in the enclosure. Some of the bears were coming in and out of the pond. There is a potential for injury to the bears if the hot wire were to contact the water. Also, if the wire should contact the water and short out, the containment system may fail.

This will be corrected by repositioning the electric fence so that it cannot contact the water if it should fall, by June 27, 2003. Electric containment fencing is checked daily for proper functioning.

The 2 river otters that were acquired on 5/13/98 have reportedly escaped. The enclosure that they had been placed in was evidently inadequate to contain the animals. All animal enclosures are to be constructed and maintained in good repair to contain the animals and not permit escape.

3.127 (b)

3.127 (d)

FACILITIES, OUTDOOR.

<<<<Shelter from inclement weather. Natural or artificial shelter appropriate to the local climatic conditions for the species concerned shall be provided for all animals kept outdoors to afford them protection and to prevent discomfort to such animals.>>>>

In the large pasture containing the Bison and Fallow Deer, there is no shelter other than a few trees along the creek available for the animals to use. The trees are not abundant enough to protect the animals during severe weather conditions, which often occurs during the winter months. The Fallow Deer, in particular, are potentially vulnerable and need to have protection available during extreme weather conditions.

The licensee stated that this will be corrected by providing a shelter by Oct. 31, 2003.

<<<< Perimeter fence. On or after May 17, 2000, all outdoor facilities must be enclosed by a perimeter fence that is of sufficient height to keep animals and unauthorized persons out. The fence must be constructed so that it protects the animals in the facility by restricting animals and unauthorized persons from going through it or under it and having contact with the animals in the facility, and so that it can function as a secondary containment system for the animals in the facility. It must be of sufficient distance from the outside of the primary enclosure to prevent physical contact between animals or persons outside the perimeter fence [at least 3 feet].>>>>

There is no perimeter fence surrounding the drive-through areas of the facility. It was reported that coyotes have gotten under the enclosure fence and have killed some newborn animals. The requirement for a perimeter fence and the

Prepared By: Ruth M. Hanscom
RUTH HANSCOM, USDA, APHIS, Animal Care

Title: VETERINARY MEDICAL OFFICER, Inspector ID: 5034

Date:
JUN-09-2003

Received By: _____
CERTIFIED MAIL #7001 1940 0004 4359 7338

Title: NA

Date:
JUN-10-2003



INSPECTION REPORT

guidelines for requesting a variance were discussed with the licensee via phone conversation on 1/9/03. Written guidelines for variance request was included with the inspection report sent via certified mail on 1/9/03. No apparent effort has been made thus far to address this. Phone conversation with licensee stated that he did not receive the guidelines sent with the inspection report dated 12/17/02.

This will be corrected by addressing the perimeter fence either by submitting a request for a variance or by providing an appropriate perimeter fence by Aug. 31, 2003.

Inspection accompanied by (b)(6), (b)(7)c

Non-compliant items noted on previous inspection that have been corrected: (1) The ground has been built up in the 4-bear pen, allowing drainage. The standing water and mud had been eliminated; (2) The rhino pen had been cleaned of the excess manure and there was no mud or standing water this inspection; (3) The bobcat pen and fox pen have been repaired satisfactorily.

Animal Inventory: Tigers-2; African lions-2; Wolves-7; Coyotes-2; Racoons-3; Bobcats-4; Cougars-10; Leopard-1; Lynx-2; Serval-1; Fox-2; Bears-28; Prairie dogs-approx. 50; Llamas-11; Zebras-2; Yaks-25; Rhinoceros-1; Elk-19; Fallow deer-60; Bison-27; Goats-6; Sheep-2.

APHIS Form 7002, Program of Veterinary Care, AC Policy Memo #3, Veterinary Care, and duplicate info on the perimeter fence regulation and variance requests are included with this report.

Discussed inspection findings in exit conference via telephone with (b)(6), (b)(7)c on June 10, 2003.

Prepared By: Ruth M. Hanscom
RUTH HANSCOM, USDA, APHIS, Animal Care

Title: VETERINARY MEDICAL OFFICER, Inspector ID: 5034

Date:
JUN-09-2003

Received By: _____
CERTIFIED MAIL #7001 1940 0004 4359 7338

Title: NA

Date:
JUN-10-2003